arXiv:math/0101204v1 [math.QA] 25 Jan 2001

The charge conjugation orbifold $V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}^+$ is rational when $\langle \alpha, \alpha\rangle/2$ is prime

Toshiyuki Abe

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan
e-mail: sm3002at@ecs.cmc.osaka-u.ac.jp

Abstract

In this paper we prove the rationality of the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ for a rank one positive definite even lattice L whose generator has a prime half length.

1 Introduction

Let $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ be a rank one even lattice defined by $\langle \alpha, \alpha\rangle = 2k$ for a positive integer k. Then it is known that the lattice vertex operator algebra V_L is rational (see [D1]). The vertex operator algebra V_L has an automorphism induced from the -1-isometry of L, and the fixed point set V_L^+ is a simple vertex operator algebra (cf. [DN]), which is called the charge conjugation orbifold in the literature [DVVV] and [KT]. In this paper we show that the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ is rational when k is a *prime integer*.

The rationality of V_L^+ with $k = 3$ may help to study the construction of moonshine module. The moonshine vertex operator algebra $V^\natural$ has a vertex operator subalgebra isomorphic to the tensor product $U = (V_L^+)^{\otimes 24}$ of V_L^+ with $k = 3$ which has the same Virasoro element of $V^\natural$ ([Sh]). As proved in [FHL], the tensor product $V = \otimes_{i=1}^n V^i$ of rational vertex operator algebras V^i is also rational and any irreducible V-modules are isomorphic to tensor products $\otimes_{i=1}^n M^i$ of some irreducible V^i-module W^i. Therefore by the rationality of V_L^+ with $k = 3$, one knows that $V^\natural$ is decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible U-modules which are tensor products of 24 irreducible V_L^+-modules. The similar decompositions of $V^\natural$ as a direct sum of irreducible modules of the tensor product $L(1/2, 0)^{\otimes 48}$ of the Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(1/2, 0)$ are known (cf. [DMZ] and [DGH]), and these decompositions are used to compute the characters of elements of the Monster simple group (see [M4]). More precisely, Miyamoto [M2] constructed a vertex operator algebra M_D associated to an even linear binary code D in $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is called a code vertex operator algebra. The vertex operator algebra M_D contains $L(1/2, 0)^{\otimes n}$ as a vertex operator subalgebra, and each irreducible components of M_D as an $L(1/2, 0)^{\otimes n}$-module corresponds to a codeword of D. In [M4], the moonshine vertex operator algebra is reconstructed as an induced module, which is introduced and studied in [DLi], of some code vertex operator algebra, and the characters of $2A$, $2B$ and $3C$ elements of the Monster are calculated explicitly. On the other hand, in [Sh] the Mckay-Thompson series for $4A$ elements of the Monster are calculated by using a decomposition of $V^\natural$ as a $(V_L^+)^{\otimes 24}$-module. Thus this decomposition may shed light on another aspects of the actions of the Monster on the moonshine vertex operator algebra.

In [M3], the structures of modules for code vertex operator algebras are studied in detail, and there the rationality and fusion rules of $L(1/2, 0)$ play an important role. The fusion rules for charge conjugation orbifolds V_L^+ are completely determined in [A]. Together with the rationality of V_L^+ with $k = 3$, we hope that the analogue of the representation theory for code vertex operator algebra can be developed for the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ with $k = 3$. For example, as been studying by Lam and Shimakura ([LS]), the induced module construction from V_L^+ may be explained by means of the language of code.

Let V be a vertex operator algebra. In [Z], an associative algebra $A(V)$ associated with V is introduced. Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ has many information on modules for V, for example, there is a bijection between the set of equivalence classes of irreducible V-modules and that of irreducible $A(V)$-modules. Furthermore if V is rational, then Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ is semisimple.

Suppose that Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ is semisimple. Then we can prove that any admissible V-module M has a generalized eigenspace decomposition for $L(0) = \omega(1)$, where ω is the Virasoro element of V (see Theorem 3.3). This follows from the fact that if $A(V)$ is semisimple, then the lowest degree space of an admissible V-module M is a direct sum of irreducible $A(V)$-modules and then $L(0)$ acts semisimply on the V-submodule generated by the lowest degree space. Let $\mathcal{P}(V)$ be the set of lowest weights of all irreducible admissible V-modules. Then we prove that for any admissible V-module and any complex number λ, the direct sum $\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} M^{(\lambda+n)}$ of generalized eigenspace $M^{(\lambda+n)}$ of eigenvalue $\lambda + n$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$) is an admissible V-submodule of M. We also show that if $\mu \notin \mathcal{P}(V) + \mathbb{N}$ then the generalized eigenspaces $M^{(\mu)}$ is zero. These imply that M is a direct sum of admissible submodules of the form $\oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{(\lambda+n)}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(V)$. Thus in order to prove that V is rational, it suffices to show that for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(V)$ every admissible V-module of the form $\oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{(\lambda+n)}$ are completely reducible. If $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(V)$ satisfies the condition $(\lambda + \mathbb{Z}_+) \cap \mathcal{P}(V) = \emptyset$, then it is not hard to see that any admissible modules $M = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{(\lambda+n)}$ are completely reducible. Hence if we show that for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(V)$ not satisfying $(\lambda + \mathbb{Z}_+) \cap \mathcal{P}(V) = \emptyset$, every admissible V-module of the form $M = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{(\lambda+n)}$ is completely reducible, then we have the rationality of V.

Since Zhu's algebra $A(V_L^+)$ is semisimple (see [DN]), we can use the results explained in the previous paragraph to prove the rationality of the vertex operator algebra V_L^+. The classification of irreducible V_L^+-modules in [DN] shows that the set of lowest weights for V_L^+ is $\mathcal{P}(V_L^+) = \{ 0, 1, r^2/4k, 1/16, 9/16 \}$. Under the assumption that k is prime, all numbers $0, 1, 1/16, 9/16$ and $r^2/4k$ for $1 \le r \le k$ are distinct and that lowest weights λ except 0 satisfy the condition $(\lambda + \mathbb{Z}_+) \cap \mathcal{P}(V_L^+) = \emptyset$ (see Lemma 4.3). Thus to show that V_L^+ with a prime integer k is rational, it suffices to prove that every admissible V_L^+-module of the form $M = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M^{(n)}$ is completely reducible. We will prove that the submodules of M generated by $M^{(0)}$ and $M^{(1)}$ are completely reducible because we can easily see that

M is generated by $M^{(0)}$ and $M^{(1)}$.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In Section 2.1, we review definitions of modules for a vertex operator algebra, and recall some results related to Zhu's algebra in Section 2.2. In Section 3, we prove that for a vertex operator algebra V whose Zhu's algebra is semisimple, every admissible module is a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces for $L(0)$. The constructions of the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ and its irreducible modules are explained in Section 4.1, and in Section 4.2 we prove that the rationality of V_L^+ with a prime integer $k = \langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle/2$ by using results in Section 3.

Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of nonnegative integers and $\mathbb{Z}_+$ is the set of positive integers.

2 Preliminaries

In Section 2.1, we recall the definition of modules over a vertex operator algebra, following [FLM], [FHL]. We also review the definition of Zhu's algebra and some related results in Section 2.2.

2.1 Modules for a Vertex Operator Algebra

Let $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra (cf. [FLM] and [FHL]). We write $Y(a,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a(n)z^{-n-1}$ for $a \in V$. Then V has an eigenspace decomposition $V = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V(n)$ for $L(0) = \omega(1)$, where $V(n)$ is the eigenspace of eigenvalue n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A vector a in $V(n)$ is called to be homogeneous of weight n. We denote the weight of $a \in V(n)$ by $n = \mathrm{wt}(a)$.

Definition 2.1. *A* weak V-module *is a pair (M, Y_M) of a vector space M and a linear map*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Y_M : V & \to & (\mathrm{End}\, M)[[z, z^{-1}]], \\ a & \mapsto & Y_M(a,z) = \displaystyle\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a(n)z^{-n-1}, \ (a(n) \in \mathrm{End}\, M) \end{array}$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied for $a, b \in V$ and $u \in M$:
(1)

$$Y_M(a,z)v \in M((z)), \tag{2.1}$$

(2) (The Jacobi identity).

$$\begin{aligned} &z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right) Y_M(a,z_1)Y_M(b,z_2) - z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y_M(b,z_2)Y_M(a,z_1) \\ &= z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) Y_M(Y(a,z_0)b, z_2), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

(3)

$$Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) = \mathrm{id}_M.$$

Set $Y_M(\omega, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$. Then as proved in [DLM2], one have the commutation relations of the Virasoro algebra

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m-n)L(m+n) + \frac{m^3-m}{12}\delta_{m,-n}\, c_V \mathrm{id}_M,$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where c_V is the central charge of V. We also have the $L(-1)$-derivative property

$$Y_M(L(-1)a, z) = \frac{d}{dz}Y(a, z), \text{ for all } a \in V. \tag{2.3}$$

A weak V-module (M, Y_M) is often denoted by M. A *weak V-submodule* of a weak module M is a subspace N of M such that $a(n)N \subset N$ hold for all $a \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By the Jacobi identity (2.2), we have the following commutation relations for $a, b \in V$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$[a(m), b(n)] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ k \end{pmatrix} (a(k)b)(m+n-k) \tag{2.4}$$

Now we set $\tilde{a}(n) = a(\mathrm{wt}(a) + n - 1)$ for a homogeneous vector $a \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and extend it to every $a \in V$ by linearity. Set

$$\Omega(M) = \{\, u \in M \mid \tilde{a}(n)u = 0 \text{ for any } a \in V \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \,\}.$$

By the commutation relation (2.4), we see that $\Omega(M)$ is preserved under the action of $o(a) = \tilde{a}(0)$ for $a \in V$.

For a weak V-module M and $u \in M$, we denote by $\langle u \rangle$ the weak submodule of M generated by u. Then the following lemma holds:

Lemma 2.2. ([Li]) *Let M be a weak V-module and $u \in M$. Then*

$$\langle u \rangle = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{a(n)u \mid a \in V \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}\,\}.$$

Definition 2.3. *An* admissible V-module M *is a weak V-module equipped with an $\mathbb{N}$-grading $M = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n$ such that the condition*

$$a(n)M_m \subset M_{\mathrm{wt}(a)+m-n-1} \tag{2.5}$$

holds for any homogeneous vector $a \in V$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $M_m = 0$ for $n < 0$.

For an admissible V-module M, we have the linear map $d : M \to M$ defined by $d|_{M_n} = n\,\mathrm{id}_{M_n}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), which is called the degree operator of M. By (2.5), we have commutation relation

$$[d, \tilde{a}(n)] = -n\, \tilde{a}(n) \tag{2.6}$$

for $a \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

A d-invariant weak V-submodule N of an admissible V-module M is called an *admissible V-submodule* of M. Then N is decomposed into a direct sum of eigenspaces for d as $N = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} N_n$, where $N_n = N \cap M_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For an admissible V-module M and its admissible V-submodule N, the quotient space M/N has a natural admissible V-module structure; the homogeneous space of degree n is given by

$$(M/N)_n = (M_n + N)/N. \tag{2.7}$$

Now we recall the definition of the rationality of a vertex operator algebra. An *irreducible* admissible V-module M is an admissible V-module which has no admissible V-submodule except 0 and M. When M is a direct sum of irreducible admissible submodules, M is called to be completely reducible.

Definition 2.4. *A vertex operator algebra V is said to be rational if any admissible V-module is completely reducible.*

2.2 Zhu's Algebra $A(V)$

In this subsection we review the definition of Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ associated to a vertex operator algebra V and recall some related results in [Z] and [DLM2].

Let $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra. For homogeneous vectors $a \in V$ and $b \in V$, we define

$$a \circ b = \mathrm{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\mathrm{wt}(a)}}{z^2} Y(a,z)b. \tag{2.8}$$

Let $O(V)$ be the subspace of V spanned by all vectors $a \circ b$ for homogeneous vectors $a, b \in V$, and set $A(V) = V/O(V)$. We denote the image of $a \in V$ in $A(V)$ by $[a]$. For any homogeneous vector $a \in V$ and $b \in V$, define

$$a * b = \mathrm{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{\mathrm{wt}(a)}}{z} Y(a,z)b$$

and extend it on V for a by linearity. The following theorem is due to [Z] and [DLM2]:

Theorem 2.5. *(1) The operation $*$ induces an associative algebra structure on $A(V)$, and the image $[\omega]$ is contained in the center of $A(V)$.*

(2) For any admissible V-module M, the linear map $V \to \mathrm{End}\,\Omega(M)$ defined by $a \mapsto o(a)|_{\Omega(M)}$ for $a \in V$ induces an $A(V)$-module structure on $\Omega(M)$.

(3) The map $M \mapsto \Omega(M)$ induces a bijection from the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible admissible V-modules to the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible $A(V)$-modules.

(4) If $A(V)$ is semisimple, then there are only finitely many inequivalent irreducible admissible V-modules.

It is known that for any irreducible admissible V-module M, there exists a complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that M is decomposed into a direct sum of $L(0)$-eigenspaces as $M = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M(\lambda + n)$, where $M(\lambda + n)$ is the $L(0)$-eigenspace of eigenvalue $\lambda + n$ (see [Z, Lemma 1.2.1]). We call the complex number λ a *lowest weight* of M. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(V)$ the set of lowest weights of all irreducible admissible V-modules.

Proposition 2.6. *(1) For an admissible V-module M, if a subspace W of $\Omega(M)$ is invariant under the action of $o(a)$ for any $a \in V$, then W is $A(V)$-submodule of $\Omega(M)$.*

(2) Let W be a completely reducible $A(V)$-module. Then every eigenvalues for $[\omega]$ on W are in $\mathcal{P}(V)$.

Proof. The assertion (1) follows from Theorem 2.5 (2). We shall prove (2). Since W is completely reducible as an $A(V)$-module, it is decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible $A(V)$-submodules. Since $[\omega]$ is in the center of $A(V)$, $[\omega]$ acts on each irreducible component by a scalar. By Theorem 2.5 (3), any irreducible $A(V)$-module is isomorphic to $\Omega(M)$ for some irreducible admissible V-module M. Since $[\omega]$ acts on $\Omega(M)$ as $L(0)$, we see that the eigenvalues for $[w]$ on irreducible components of W are lowest weights. This proves (2). □

3 Generalized Eigenspaces Decompositions of Admissible V-Modules

Let V be a vertex operator algebra. For a weak V-module M, we denote the generalized eigenspace for $L(0)$ with eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ by $M^{(\lambda)}$, i.e.,

$$M^{(\lambda)} = \{\, u \in M \mid (L(0) - \lambda)^k u = 0 \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N} \,\}.$$

The purpose of this section is to show the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let V be a vertex operator algebra. Suppose that Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ is semisimple. Then any admissible V-module M is decomposed into a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces for $L(0)$ as follows:*

$$M = \oplus_{i=1}^{s} (\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M^{(\lambda_i + n)})$$

for some $\lambda_i \in \mathcal{P}(V)$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, s)$. Moreover, for each λ_i, the subspace $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M^{(\lambda_i + n)}$ is an admissible V-submodule of M.

Till end of this section, we assume that Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ is semisimple.

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be an admissible V-module. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the subspace $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M^{(\lambda + n)}$ is an admissible V-submodule of M. Therefore, $N = \oplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} M^{(\lambda)}$ is also an admissible V-submodule of M.*

Proof. Set $W = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} M^{(\lambda+n)}$. We have to prove that

$$\tilde{a}(n)W \subset W \text{ for all } a \in V \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.1}$$

and that

$$d(W) \subset W, \tag{3.2}$$

where d is the degree operator of M. First we prove (3.1). For $a \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have a commutation relation

$$[L(0), \tilde{a}(n)] = -n\, \tilde{a}(n). \tag{3.3}$$

Hence for any $u \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} (L(0) - \lambda + n)\tilde{a}(n)u &= L(0)\tilde{a}(n)u - (\lambda - n)\tilde{a}(n)u \\ &= \tilde{a}(n)L(0)u - n\tilde{a}(n)u - (\lambda - n)\tilde{a}(n)u \\ &= \tilde{a}(n)(L(0) - \lambda)u. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that if $u \in M^{(\lambda)}$, then $\tilde{a}(n)u \in M^{(\lambda-n)}$. Thus we see that $\tilde{a}(n)u \in W$ for any $u \in W$. Next we show (3.2). Let $u \in M^{(\lambda)}$, and choose $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ so that $(L(0) - \lambda)^k u = 0$. Since $[d, L(0)] = 0$ by (2.6), we have

$$(L(0) - \lambda)^k du = d(L(0) - \lambda)^k u = 0.$$

Hence $du \in M^{(\lambda)}$. This shows that W is invariant under the action of d. Thus we have (3.2). □

Now we can prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let V be a vertex operator algebra, and suppose that Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ is semisimple. Then any admissible V-module M is decomposed into a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces for $L(0)$, i.e., $M = \oplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} M^{(\lambda)}$.*

Proof. Set $N = \oplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} M^{(\lambda)}$. By Lemma 3.2, N is an admissible submodule of M. We need to prove that $M/N = 0$. Thus we show that $(M/N)_n = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction on n. First we prove $(M/N)_0 = 0$. By Proposition 2.6 (1), M_0 is an $A(V)$-submodule of $\Omega(M)$. Since $A(V)$ is semisimple, $L(0)$ acts on M_0 diagonally. Hence M_0 is contained in N. This implies that $(M/N)_0 = 0$. Let n be a positive integer, and assume that $(M/N)_m = 0$ for any $m < n$. We prove $(M/N)_n = 0$. By the induction hypothesis, we see that the subspace $(M/N)_n$ is contained in $\Omega(M/N)$ and is invariant under the action of $o(a)$ for any $a \in V$. Hence by Proposition 2.6 (2), $(M/N)_n$ is an $A(V)$-module, and $L(0)$ acts on $(M/N)_n$ diagonally. Therefore, it suffices to show that $u \in N$ for any $L(0)$-eigenvector $u + N \cap M_n \in (M/N)_n$.

Let $u + N \cap M_n$ be an $L(0)$-eigenvector with eigenvalue λ. Then there exists $v \in N$ such that $L(0)u = \lambda u + v$. Since $v \in N$, we can write $v = \sum_{i=1}^{d} v_i$ for some $v_i \in M^{(\lambda_i)}$. For

$1 \leq i \leq d$, choose $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $(L(0)-\lambda_i)^{k_i} v_i = 0$, and set $f(x) = \prod_{i=1,\lambda_i \neq \lambda}^{d} (x-\lambda_i)^{k_i} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. Then it is obvious that

$$(L(0)-\lambda)^k f(L(0))v = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus it follows from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}(L(0)-\lambda)^{k+1} f(L(0))u &= f(L(0))(L(0)-\lambda)^{k+1}u \\ &= f(L(0))(L(0)-\lambda)^k v \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $f(L(0))u \in M^{(\lambda)} \subset N$. On the other hand, we have $f(L(0))u - f(\lambda)u \in N$ because $L(0)u - \lambda u = v \in N$ and N is $L(0)$-invariant. Since $f(\lambda)$ is nonzero, we see that $u \in N$. This implies $(M/N)_n = 0$. □

Let M be an admissible V-module. Then by Lemma 3.2, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the subspace $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M^{(\lambda+n)}$ is an admissible V-submodule of M. We next prove that there is an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $M^{(\lambda+n)} = 0$ for all integer $n < m$, more precisely we have:

Proposition 3.4. *Let M be an admissible V-module. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - (\mathcal{P}(V) + \mathbb{N})$, then $M^{(\lambda)} = 0$.*

Proof. Set $P' = \mathcal{P}(V) + \mathbb{N}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have a direct sum decomposition $M^{(\lambda)} = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{(\lambda)} \cap M_n$, since $M^{(\lambda)}$ is d-invariant. Set $M_n^{(\lambda)} = M^{(\lambda)} \cap M_n$. By using induction on n, we prove that $M_n^{(\lambda)} = 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - P'$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First we show that $M_0^{(\lambda)} = 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - P'$. By Proposition 2.6 (1), $M_0^{(\lambda)}$ is an $A(V)$-submodule of $\Omega(M)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The semisimplicity of $A(V)$ implies that $L(0)$ acts on $M_0^{(\lambda)}$ by the scalar λ. Thus by Proposition 2.6 (2), we see that $M_0^{(\lambda)}$ is zero if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - P'$. Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and assume that $M_n^{(\lambda)} = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - P'$ and $n < n_0$. Then we show that $M_{n_0}^{(\lambda)} = 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - P'$. By (2.6) and (3.3), we have

$$\tilde{a}(m) M_{n_0}^{(\lambda)} \subset M_{n_0-m}^{(\lambda-m)} \tag{3.5}$$

for $a \in V$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - P'$ then $\lambda - m \in \mathbb{C} - P'$ for any $m \geq 1$. Hence by induction hypothesis, we see that $\tilde{a}(m) M_{n_0}^{(\lambda)} = 0$ for $m \geq 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - P'$. This implies that $M_{n_0}^{(\lambda)} \subset \Omega(M)$. By (3.5), the space $M_{n_0}^{(\lambda)}$ is invariant under the action of $o(a)$ for all $a \in V$. Thus by Proposition 2.6 (1), $M_{n_0}^{(\lambda)}$ is an $A(V)$-submodule of $\Omega(M)$. By the same reason as in the case $n = 0$, we have $M_{n_0}^{(\lambda)} = 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - P'$. This completes the proof. □

Let us prove Theorem 3.1.
proof of Theorem 3.1. By Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4, we see that any admissible V-module M is decomposed as follows:

$$M = \oplus_{\lambda \in I} (\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M^{(\lambda+n)}),$$

where I is an subset of $\mathcal{P}(V)$. Since $\mathcal{P}(V)$ is a finite set by Theorem 2.5, the subset I is also finite. If we set $I = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s\}$, then we have the decomposition

$$M = \oplus_{i=1}^{s}(\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M^{(\lambda_i+n)}).$$

By Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.4, we see that $\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M^{(\lambda_i+n)}$ is admissible V-submodule of M for each λ_i. This completes the proof. $\square$

Let V be a vertex operator algebra V whose Zhu's algebra is semisimple. By Theorem 3.1, we see that if any admissible V-module of the form $M = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M^{(\lambda+n)}$ is completely reducible for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(V)$, then V is rational. Now we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5. *If $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(V)$ satisfies a condition $(\lambda + \mathbb{Z}_+) \cap \mathcal{P}(V) = \emptyset$, then any admissible V-module of the form $M = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} M^{(\lambda+n)}$ is completely reducible.*

To show this proposition, we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Let λ and M be as in Proposition 3.5. If $M^{(\lambda)} = 0$, then $M = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $M \neq 0$. Then we can easily see that $\Omega(M)$ is nonzero. By Proposition 2.6 (2) and the assumption that $(\lambda+\mathbb{Z}_+)\cap\mathcal{P}(V) = \emptyset$, we have $\Omega(M) = M^{(\lambda)}$. Therefore, $M^{(\lambda)}$ is nonzero. $\square$

As a corollary of Lemma 3.6, we have:

Corollary 3.7. *Let λ and M be as in Proposition 3.5. Then the following hold*:

(1) Let N be an admissible submodule of M. If N contains $M^{(\lambda)}$, then $N = M$.

(2) M is irreducible as an admissible V-module if and only if $M^{(\lambda)}$ is irreducible as an $A(V)$-module.

Proof. It is clear that if $M^{(\lambda)} \subset N$, then $N^{(\lambda)} = M^{(\lambda)}$. Thus the quotient module M/N is zero by Lemma 3.6. This proves (1). Next we prove (2). As in the proof of Lemma 3.6, we see that $\Omega(M) = M^{(\lambda)}$. Thus by Theorem 2.5 (3) if M is an irreducible V-module, then $M^{(\lambda)}$ is irreducible as an $A(V)$-module. Conversely, assume that $M^{(\lambda)}$ is irreducible as an $A(V)$-module. Let N be a nonzero admissible submodule of M. By Lemma 3.6, $N^{(\lambda)}$ is nonzero. Hence $N^{(\lambda)}$ is a nonzero $A(V)$-submodule of $M^{(\lambda)}$. Therefore, by the irreducibility of $M^{(\lambda)}$, $N^{(\lambda)} = M^{(\lambda)}$. Then (1) implies that $N = M$. $\square$

By using Corollary 3.7, we prove Proposition 3.5.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. Since $M^{(\lambda)}$ is an $A(V)$-module, it is a direct sum of irreducible $A(V)$-modules as

$$M^{(\lambda)} = \oplus_{i\in I} W_i,$$

where I is an index set and W_i is an irreducible component of $M^{(\lambda)}$. Let $u_i \in W^i$ be a nonzero element, and set $N^i = \langle u_i \rangle$. By Lemma 2.2, it is clear that

$$(N^i)^{(\lambda+n)} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\, \tilde{a}(-n)u_i \mid a \in V \,\}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we see that $(N^i)^{(\lambda)}$ is a nonzero $A(V)$-submodule of W^i. Hence $(N^i)^{(\lambda)} = W^i$. Thus it follows from Corollary 3.7 (2) that N^i is irreducible. Put $N = \sum_{i \in I} N^i$. Then N is completely reducible as an admissible V-module. Since N contains $M^{(\lambda)}$, Corollary 3.7 (1) shows that $N = M$. Thus M is completely reducible. $\square$

By Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.5, we have:

Corollary 3.8. *Let V be a vertex operator algebra. Suppose that Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ is semisimple. If $(\lambda + \mathbb{Z}_+) \cap \mathcal{P}(V) = \emptyset$ for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(V)$, then V is rational.*

We shall give a remark on similar results for admissible twisted modules.

Let V be a vertex operator algebra, and let g be an automorphism of V. In [DLM2], Dong, Li and Mason introduced an associative algebra $A_g(V)$,which is a generalization of Zhu's algebra $A(V)$. It is known that all statements in Theorem 2.5 hold for $A_g(V)$ and admissible g-twisted V-modules, instead of $A(V)$ and admissible V-modules. Since in the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.5 we use only Theorem 2.5 and results which follow from Theorem 2.5, we see that the similar statements in Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.5 hold for any g-twisted V-modules:

Theorem 3.9. *Let V be a vertex operator algebra, and let g be an automorphism of order T. Suppose that the associative algebra $A_g(V)$ is semisimple. Then any admissible g-twisted V-module M is decomposed into a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces for $L(0)$ as follows:*

$$M = \oplus_{i=1}^{s} (\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M^{(\lambda_i + n/T)})$$

for some $\lambda_i \in \mathcal{P}_g(V)$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, s)$, where $\mathcal{P}_g(V)$ is the set of the lowest weights of all irreducible admissible g-twisted V-modules. Moreover, for each λ_i the subspace $\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M^{(\lambda_i + n/T)}$ is an admissible g-twisted submodule of M.

Proposition 3.10. *Let V, g and T be as in Theorem 3.9. If $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g(V)$ satisfies a condition $(\lambda + (1/T)\mathbb{Z}_+) \cap \mathcal{P}_g(V) = \emptyset$, then any admissible g-twisted V-module of the form $M = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M^{(\lambda + n/T)}$ is completely reducible.*

Therefore, as a corollary of Proposition 3.10 we have:

Corollary 3.11. *Let V, g and T be as in Theorem 3.9. If the condition $(\lambda + (1/T)\mathbb{Z}_+) \cap \mathcal{P}_g(V) = \emptyset$ holds for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_g(V)$, then V is g-rational.*

4 Main Results

In this section we prove that the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ for a rank one even lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ is rational if $k = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle / 2$ is a prime integer. In Section 4.1, we recall the construction of the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ and its irreducible modules. In Section 4.2, we show the rationality of V_L^+ for a prime integer k by using the results in the previous section.

4.1 The Vertex Operator Algebra V_L^+

Let $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ be a rank one even lattice with a $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear form $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ defined by $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2k$ for a positive integer k. Set $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ and extend the form $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ to a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}$. Let L° be the dual lattice of L, and let $\mathbb{C}[L^\circ] = \oplus_{\lambda \in L^\circ} \mathbb{C}e_\lambda$ be the group algebra of L°. For a subset M of L°, we set $\mathbb{C}[M] = \oplus_{\lambda \in M} \mathbb{C}e_\lambda$.

Let $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ be a Lie algebra with the commutation relations given by

$$[X \otimes t^m, X' \otimes t^n] = m\,\delta_{m+n,0} \langle X, X' \rangle K \text{ and } [K, \hat{\mathfrak{h}}] = 0,\ (X, X' \in \mathfrak{h}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}^+ = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ is a subalgebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$, and the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[L^\circ]$ becomes a $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}^+$-module by the actions

$$(X \otimes t^n).e_\lambda = \delta_{n,0} \langle X, \lambda \rangle e_\lambda \text{ and } K.e_\lambda = e_\lambda\ (\lambda \in L^\circ, X \in \mathfrak{h}, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For a subset M of L° and $\mu \in L^\circ$, we set

$$V_M = U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}) \otimes_{U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}^+)} \mathbb{C}[M] \text{ and } M(1, \beta) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}) \otimes_{U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}^+)} \mathbb{C}e_\beta,$$

where $U(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let π be the representation of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ on V_{L°, and $X(n) = \pi(X \otimes t^n)$ $(X \in \mathfrak{h}, n \in \mathbb{Z})$. We set $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes e_0$ and $\omega = (1/2)h(-1)^2 e_0$, where h is a vector of $\mathfrak{h}$ with $\langle h, h \rangle = 1$. Then it is known that there exists a linear map $Y : V_L \to V_{L^\circ}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ such that $(V_L, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ has a simple vertex operator algebra structure, and $(V_{\lambda+L}, Y)$ becomes an irreducible V_L-module for $\lambda \in L^\circ$ (see [FLM]). We remark that $(M(1,0), Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ is an vertex operator subalgebra of V_L. We write $M(1) = M(1, 0)$ for simplicity. The vertex operator $Y(e_\beta, z)$ for $\beta \in L$ on V_{L° is given by

$$Y(e_\beta, z) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta(-n)}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta(n)}{n} z^{-n}\right) e_\beta z^{\beta(0)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where e_β in the right-hand side means the left multiplication of e_β on the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[L^\circ]$, and $z^{\beta(0)}$ is an operator on V_{L° defined by $z^{\lambda(0)}u = z^{\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle}u$ for $\mu \in L^\circ$ and $u \in M(1, \mu)$. The operator $L(0) = \omega_1$ is given by

$$L(0) = \frac{1}{2}h(0)^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} h(-n)h(n).$$

It is known that V_L is a rational vertex operator algebra and every irreducible V_L-module is isomorphic to $V_{\lambda+L}$ for some $\lambda \in L^\circ$ (see [D2]).

Let θ be a linear isomorphism of V_{L° defined by

$$\theta(X_1(-n_1)X_2(-n_2) \cdots X_\ell(-n_\ell) \otimes e_\lambda) = (-1)^\ell X_1(-n_1)X_2(-n_2) \cdots X_\ell(-n_\ell) \otimes e_{-\lambda}$$

for $X_i \in \mathfrak{h}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\lambda \in L^\circ$. Then θ induces an automorphism of V_L of order 2. For a θ-invariant subspace W of V_{L°, we denote by $W^\pm$ the (± 1)-eigenspaces of W for θ.

Then $(V_L^+, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ is a simple vertex operator algebra, and $V_L^\pm$, $V_{\alpha/2+L}^\pm$ and $V_{r\alpha/2k+L}$ for $1 \le r \le k-1$ become irreducible V_L^+-modules (see [DN]).

Another irreducible V_L^+-modules come from θ-twisted V_L-modules. We briefly review the constructions of θ-twisted V_L-modules (see [FLM] and [D2] for more detailed construction). Let $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[-1] = \mathfrak{h} \otimes t^{1/2}\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ be a Lie algebra with the commutation relation given by

$$[X \otimes t^m, X' \otimes t^n] = m\, \delta_{m+n,0}\, \langle X, X' \rangle\, K \text{ and } [K, \hat{\mathfrak{h}}[-1]] = 0 \ (X, X' \in \mathfrak{h}, m, n \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[-1]^+ = \mathfrak{h} \otimes t^{1/2}\mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ is a subalgebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[-1]$. Let T_1 and T_2 be irreducible $\mathbb{C}[L]$-modules on which e_α acts as 1 and -1 respectively. We define the action of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[-1]^+$ on T_i by the actions

$$(X \otimes t^{1/2+n}).u = 0 \text{ and } K.u = u \ (X \in \mathfrak{h}, n \in \mathbb{N}, u \in T_i).$$

Set

$$V_L^{T_i} = U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[-1]) \otimes_{U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[-1]^+)} T_i \ (i = 1, 2),$$

then $V_L^{T_i}$ has the irreducible θ-twisted V_L-module structure.

Now we define the action of the automorphism θ on $V_L^{T_i}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ by

$$\theta(X_1(-n_1) \cdots X_\ell(-n_\ell)u) = (-1)^\ell X_1(-n_1) \cdots X_\ell(-n_\ell)u,$$

for $X_i \in \mathfrak{h}, n_i \in 1/2 + \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in T_i$, and set $V_L^{T_i,\pm}$ the ± 1-eigenspaces of $V_L^{T_i}$ for θ. Then $V_L^{T_i,\pm}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ become irreducible V_L^+-modules (see [DN]).

All irreducible V_L^+-modules are completely classified in [DN]. Their result is as follows:

Theorem 4.1. *The set*

$$\{V_L^\pm, V_{\alpha/2+L}^\pm, V_L^{T_i,\pm}, V_{r\alpha/2k+L} \,|\, i = 1, 2, 1 \le r \le k-1\} \tag{4.2}$$

is the complete list of all inequivalent irreducible admissible V_L^+-modules.

The following result is also found in [DN];

Proposition 4.2. *Zhu's algebra $A(V_L^+)$ is semisimple.*

It is known that V_L^+ is rational when $k = 1, 2$. In fact, if $k = 1$, then V_L^+ is isomorphic to the lattice vertex operator algebra $V_{L'}$, where $L' = \mathbb{Z}\beta$ is an even lattice with $\langle \beta, \beta \rangle = 8$ (see [DG]). If $k = 2$, then V_L^+ is isomorphic to the vertex operator algebra $L(1/2, 0) \otimes L(1/2, 0)$, where $L(1/2, 0)$ is the minimal vertex operator algebra of central charge 1/2 (see [DGH]).

4.2 Proof of the rationality of V_L^+ for a prime integer k

First we consider the set of lowest weights of V_L^+. By the construction of irreducible V_L^+-modules, we have the following table.

V_L^+	V_L^-	V_{λ_r+L} $(1 \le r \le k-1)$	$V_{\alpha/2+L}^{\pm}$	$V_L^{T_1,+}, V_L^{T_2,+}$	$V_L^{T_1,-}, V_L^{T_2,-}$
0	1	$r^2/4k$	$k/4$	$1/16$	$9/16$

Table 1. The lowest weights of irreducible V_L^+-modules.

Hence we have

$$\mathcal{P}(V_L^+) = \{\, 0, 1, r^2/4k, 1/16, 9/16 \,|\, 1 \le r \le k \,\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Now we shall apply the results in Section 3 to the case that $V = V_L^+$. Since $0, 1 \in \mathcal{P}(V_L^+)$, we can not use Theorem 3.8 to prove the rationality of V_L^+. But in the case $k = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle / 2$ is a prime integer, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that k is a prime integer. Then the lowest weights $0, 1, 1/16, 9/16$ and $r^2/4k$ for $1 \le r \le k$ are all distinct. Furthermore, for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(V_L^+) - \{0\}$, we have $(\lambda + \mathbb{Z}_+) \cap \mathcal{P}(V_L^+) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. We have to prove that $r^2/4k, r^2/4k - 1/16, r^2/4k - 9/16 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \le r \le k$ and that $(r^2 - s^2)/4k \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for any $1 \le r \ne s \le k$. We first show that $r^2/4k$ is not integer for $1 \le r \le k$. If $r^2/4k$ is an integer, then $k | r$ because k is prime. So $r = k$ and then $r^2/4k = k/4$ is an integer. This is contradiction. Next we assume that $r^2/4k - 1/16$ is an integer. Then $4r^2 - k \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$. Hence $k \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. This is contradiction. We can also prove that $r^2/4k - 9/16$ is not integer in the same way. Finally we show that $(r^2 - s^2)/4k$ is not in $\mathbb{Z}$ if $r \ne s$. Assume that $(r^2 - s^2)/4k$ is an integer for some $1 \le r < s \le k$. Then $k | (r + s)$ since k is prime and $1 \le s - r \le k - 1$. Furthermore since $3 \le r + s \le 2k - 1$, we have $r + s = k$ and $k \ge 3$. Hence $(r^2 - s^2)/4k = (2s - k)/4$. It contradicts with the fact that k is an odd prime integer. □

Thus we see that if k is prime then any nonzero lowest weights for V_L^+ satisfies the condition in Proposition 3.5. Therefore, we have:

Proposition 4.4. *If k is prime and $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(V_L^+)$ is nonzero, then any admissible V_L^+-module of the form $M = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{(\lambda+n)}$ is completely reducible. In particular, if $M^{(\lambda)}$ is irreducible as an $A(V_L^+)$-module, then M is irreducible as an admissible V_L^+-module.*

Remark. If k is not prime, then there exist integers r, s with $0 \le r < s \le k$ such that $r^2/4k - s^2/4k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In fact, when k is not prime, k can be written as $k = pqn$ for two positive prime integers p, q $(q \le p)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then we see that $0 \le np - nq < np + nq \le npq = k$, and that $(np + nq)^2/4k - (np - nq)^2/4k = n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Here and further we suppose that k is prime. By Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 3.1, to show that V_L^+ is rational, it suffices to prove that every admissible V_L^+-module M of the form $M = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{(n)}$ is completely reducible.

Let W be the admissible V-submodule generated by $M^{(0)}$ and U the admissible V-submodule generated by $M^{(1)}$. Since the sum $W+U$ contains $M^{(0)} \oplus M^{(1)}$, the quotient module $M/(W+U)$ is decomposed as $M/(W+U) = \oplus_{n=2}^{\infty}(M/(W+U))^{(n)}$. Thus by Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 4.3, we see that $M/(W+U)=0$, that is, $M = W+U$. Therefore, to prove that M is completely reducible, it is enough to show that U and W are completely reducible.

We first show that the admissible V_L^+-submodule W is a direct sum of copies of V_L^+. To prove this we need the following proposition:

Proposition 4.5. *For any admissible V_L^+-module of the form $M = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{(n)}$, we have $L(-1)M^{(0)} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $u \in M^{(0)}$, and suppose that $L(-1)u \neq 0$. We see that for any homogeneous vector $a \in V_L^+$ with positive weight, $o(a)u = 0$ since $M^{(0)}$ is a direct sum of copies of the irreducible $A(V_L^+)$-module $\Omega(V_L^+) = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. Hence we have $(\widetilde{L(-1)a})(n)u = 0$ for any $a \in V_L^+$ and $n \geq 0$. This shows that for $a \in V_L^+$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}(n+1)L(-1)u &= L(-1)\tilde{a}(n+1)u - (\widetilde{L(-1)a})(n)u \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $L(-1)u \in \Omega(M) \cap M^{(1)}$. We note that the subspace $\Omega(M) \cap M^{(1)}$ is an $A(V_L^+)$-module on which $L(0)$ acts by the scalar 1. By Table 1 and Lemma 4.3, the subspace $\Omega(M) \cap M^{(1)}$ is a direct sum of copies of the irreducible $A(V_L^+)$-module $\Omega(V_L^-) = \mathbb{C}\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}$. This implies that $\mathbb{C}L(-1)u \cong \mathbb{C}\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}$ as $A(V_L^+)$-modules. Set $N = \langle L(-1)u \rangle$. Then we see that N is an admissible V-submodule of M such that $N^{(0)} = 0$ and $N^{(1)} = \mathbb{C}L(-1)u$. Hence by Proposition 4.4, N is an irreducible V_L^+-module isomorphic to V_L^-. Put

$$E = e_\alpha + e_{-\alpha} \in V_L^+ \text{ and } F = e_\alpha - e_{-\alpha} \in V_L^-. \tag{4.4}$$

By direct calculations, we have $E(0)\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1} = -2kF$ and $E(n)\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence we see that

$$E(0)L(-1)u \neq 0 \text{ and } E(n)L(-1)u = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $[L(-1), E(n)]u = -nE(n-1)u$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $L(-1)E(n)u = -nE(n-1)u$ for $n \geq 1$. Thus $E(k-1)u = 0$ implies that $E(0)u = 0$. Then $E(0)L(-1)u = L(-1)E(0)u = 0$. This contradicts with (4.5). Therefore we conclude that $L(-1)u = 0$. □

Let u be a nonzero vector in $M^{(0)}$. Then $\langle u \rangle$ is an admissible V_L^+-submodule of M such that $\langle u \rangle^{(0)} = \mathbb{C}u$. Now we show that $\langle u \rangle^{(1)} = 0$. Since $\langle u \rangle^{(1)}$ is spanned by vectors of the form $a(\mathrm{wt}(a)-2)u$ for homogeneous vectors $a \in V_L^+$, it is enough to prove that $a(\mathrm{wt}(a)-2)u = 0$ for any homogeneous vector $a \in V_L^+$. We may assume that $\mathrm{wt}(a) \neq 1$ because $(V_L^+)_1 = 0$ unless $k=1$. Then by Proposition 4.5 we have $a(\mathrm{wt}(a)-2)u = -(\mathrm{wt}(a)-1)^{-1}[L(-1), o(a)]u = 0$. Thus $\langle u \rangle^{(1)} = 0$. Therefore we have $\langle u \rangle = \mathbb{C}u \oplus (\oplus_{n=2}^{\infty} \langle u \rangle^{(n)})$. Let N be a nonzero admissible V_L^+-submodule of $\langle u \rangle$. By

Proposition 2.6 (2) and Lemma 4.3, we see that $N^{(0)} \neq 0$. This implies that $N = \langle u \rangle$. Hence $\langle u \rangle$ is an irreducible V_L^+-module, and is isomorphic to V_L^+ by Table 1. Hence we have the following proposition:

Proposition 4.6. *The admissible* V_L^+*-submodule* W *is a sum of irreducible submodules isomorphic to* V_L^+*. Thus* W *is completely reducible. In particular,* $W^{(1)}$ *is zero.*

Next we show that the admissible V-submodule U, which is the admissible V_L^+-submodule of M generated by $M^{(1)}$, is a sum of copies of the irreducible V_L^+-module V_L^-. To prove this we have to show the following proposition.

Proposition 4.7. *For any admissible* V_L^+*-module of the form* $M = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{(n)}$*, we have* $L(1)M^{(1)} = 0$*.*

Before giving the proof of Proposition 4.7, we prove some lemmas.

Lemma 4.8. *(1) If* $a \in M(1,0)^+$ *is homogeneous, then*

$$Y(E,z)a \in z^{-\mathrm{wt}(a)}(V_L^+)[[z]].$$

(2) For any homogeneous vector $a \in V_L^+$ *with* $\mathrm{wt}(a) < k$*, we have* $E(2k-2)a = 0$*.*

Proof. First we show the assertion (1). Let a be a homogeneous vector in $M(1)$. Then we have

$$Y(e_\alpha, z)a = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(-n)}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{n} z^{-n}\right) a \otimes e_\alpha. \tag{4.6}$$

Now set

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{n} z^{-n}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(\alpha) z^{-n}.$$

Then $p_n(\alpha)a \otimes e_\alpha \in M(1,\alpha)$ for $n \geq 0$. Since the weight of $p_n(\alpha)a \otimes e_\alpha$ is $\mathrm{wt}(a) - n + \mathrm{wt}(e_\alpha)$, we see that if $n > \mathrm{wt}(a)$, then $p_n(\alpha)a \otimes e_\alpha = 0$. Thus we have

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{n} z^{-n}\right) a \otimes e_\alpha \in z^{-\mathrm{wt}(a)}(V_L)[z],$$

hence $Y(e_\alpha, z)a \in z^{-\mathrm{wt}(a)}(V_L)[[z]]$. By the same way, we have $Y(e_{-\alpha}, z)a \in z^{-\mathrm{wt}(a)}(V_L)[[z]]$. Therefore if $a \in M(1)^+$ is homogeneous, then $Y(E,z)a \in z^{-\mathrm{wt}(a)}(V_L^+)[[z]]$. This proves (1). Next we show the assertion (2). It is clear that for a homogeneous vector $a \in V_L^+$, if $\mathrm{wt}(a) < k$, then $a \in M(1)^+$. Hence by (1), $E(n)a = 0$ for $n \geq \mathrm{wt}(a)$. In particular, we see that $E(k-1)a = 0$. □

Lemma 4.9. *For any* $v \in W^{(k-1)}$*,* $E(2k-2)v = 0$*.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.6, W is a direct sum of copies of the irreducible V_L^+-module V_L^+. Thus $W^{(k-1)}$ is a direct sum of $(V_L^+)_{k-1}$. Hence by Lemma 4.8 (2), $E(2k-2)u = 0$ for any $u \in W^{(k-1)}$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.7. Consider the quotient module M/W. Since $M^{(0)} = W^{(0)}$, we have $M/W = \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty}(M/W)^{(n)}$. Hence by Proposition 4.4, M/W is completely reducible and is a direct sum of copies of the irreducible V_L^+-module V_L^-. Since $W^{(1)}$ vanishes, $M^{(1)}$ is embedded in M/W. So a nonzero $u \in M^{(1)}$ generates an irreducible V_L^+-submodule of M/W isomorphic to V_L^- such that $\mathbb{C}u \cong \mathbb{C}\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}$ as $A(V_L^+)$-modules. Since $E(0)\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1} = -2kF$ and $E(2k-2)F = -2\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}$, we have $E(2k-2)E(0)\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1} = 4k\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}$. We have also $E(1)\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1} = 0$. These imply that $E(2k-2)E(0)u = 4ku$ and $E(1)u \in W$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4kL(1)u &= L(1)E(2k-2)E(0)u \\ &= E(2k-2)L(1)E(0)u \\ &= E(2k-2)(E(0)L(1)u + 2(k-1)E(1)u). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Then the fact that $E(0)L(1)u, E(1)u \in W^{(k-1)}$ and Lemma 4.9 prove that the right hand side in (4.7) is zero. Hence $L(1)u = 0$. □

Now suppose that $u \in M^{(1)}$. Let $a \in V_L^+$ be a quasi-primary vector, i.e., a homogeneous vector which satisfies $L(1)a = 0$. It is clear that $\tilde{a}(n)u = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. By the commutation relation (2.4) and (2.3) we have $[L(1), o(a)]u = (\mathrm{wt}(a) - 1)\tilde{a}(1)u$. Thus Proposition 4.6 shows that $(\mathrm{wt}(a)-1)\tilde{a}(1)u = 0$. Since $(V_L^+)_1 = 0$, we see that $\tilde{a}(1)u = 0$. Hence $\tilde{a}(n)u = 0$ for any quasi-primary vector $a \in V_L^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. By $L(-1)$-derivative property (2.3), we have

$$(\widetilde{L(-1)^m a})(n+1)u = (-1)^m m! \binom{\mathrm{wt}(a) + m + n}{m} \tilde{a}(n+1)u = 0$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, since $(V_L^+)_1 = 0$, we see that V_L^+ is spanned by vectors of the form $L(-1)^m a$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and quasi-primary vectors $a \in V_L^+$. Thus we see that $\tilde{a}(n)u = 0$ for any $a \in V_L^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. This implies that $u \in \Omega(M)$. Therefore, we have $M^{(1)} \subset \Omega(M)$. By Lemma 2.2, we have $U^{(0)} = 0$. Therefore it follows from Proposition 4.4 that U is completely reducible. Furthermore by Lemma 4.3 and Table 1, we have:

Proposition 4.10. *The admissible V-submodule U is a direct sum of copies of the irreducible V_L^+-module V_L^-.*

Consequently, by Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.10, we see that $M = W + U$ is completely reducible. Thus we have:

Proposition 4.11. *Any admissible V_L^+-module $M = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{(n)}$ is completely reducible.*

The following theorem follows from Proposition 4.4, Proposition 4.11 and Theorem 3.1:

Theorem 4.12. *If $k = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle / 2$ is a prime integer, then the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ is rational.*

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank Professor Kiyokazu Nagatomo and Dr. Yoshiyuki Koga for useful suggestions, and I also thank Professor Ching Hung Lam for reading this manuscript and giving significant comments.

References

[A] T. Abe, Fusion rules for the charge conjugation orbifold, math.QA./0006101.

[D1] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **160** (1993), 245-265.

[D2] C. Dong, Twisted modules for vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **165** (1994), 90-112.

[DG] C. Dong and R. L. Griess, Rank one lattice type vertex operator algebras and their automorphism groups, *J. Algebra* **208** (1998), 262-275.

[DGH] C. Dong, R. L. Griess and G. Höhn, Framed vertex operator algebras, codes and the moonshine module, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **193** (1998), 407-448.

[DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, "Generalized vertex algebras and relative vertex operators", Progress in Math., Vol.112, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[DLi] C. Dong and Z. Lin, Induced modules for vertex operator algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **179** (1996), 157-184.

[DLM1] C. Dong, H.-S. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Adv. in Math.* **132** (1997), 148-166.

[DLM2] C. Dong, H.-S. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571-600.

[DM] C. Dong and G. Mason, On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math. J.* **86**, No. 2 (1997), 305-321.

[DMZ] C. Dong, G. Mason and Y.-C. Zhu, Discrete series of the Virasoro algebra and the moonshine module, in "Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol. 56," Chap. II, pp. 295-316, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence, 1994.

[DN] C. Dong and K. Nagatomo, Representations of Vertex operator algebra V_L^+ for rank one lattice L, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **202** (1999), 169-195.

[DVVV] R. Dijkgraaf, C. Vafa, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, The operator algebra of orbifold models, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 485-526.

[FHL] I. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1993).

[FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, “Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster”, Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 134, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

[FZ] I. Frenkel and Y.-C. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.

[KT] V. Kac and I. Todorov, Affine orbifolds and rational conformal field theory extensions of $W_{1+\infty}$, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **190** (1997), 57-111.

[LS] C.-H. Lam and H. Shimakura, *private communications.*

[Li] H.-S. Li, The regular representation, Zhu's $A(V)$-theory and induced modules, math.QA/9909007.

[M1] M. Miyamoto, Griess algebras and conformal vectors in vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **179** (1996), 523-548.

[M2] M. Miyamoto, Binary codes and vertex operator (super)algebras, *J. Algebra* **181** (1996), 207-222.

[M3] M. Miyamoto, Representation theory of code vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **201** (1998), 115-150.

[M4] M. Miyamoto, A new construction of the Moonshine vertex operator algebras over the real number field, q-alg/9701012.

[Sh] H. Shimakura, Decomposition of the moonshine module with respect to a code over $\mathbb{Z}_6$, master thesis, The University of Tokyo.

[Z] Y.-C. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237-302.